

Testing of GOES-18 Atmospheric Motion Vectors in NAVGEM

NAVGEM 2.1 T425L60 with 4DVAR with NESDIS GOES18 Test Data

- Comparison of GOES18 with GOES17 operational data
- Comparison of GOES18 baseline algorithm AMVs with GOES18 enterprise algorithm AMVs

Rebecca Stone¹, Patricia Pauley², and Justin Reeves³

- 1 SAIC, Naval Research Laboratory, Monterey, California, USA
- 2 Naval Research Laboratory, Monterey, California, USA
- 3 Fleet Numerical Meteorology and Oceanography Center, Monterey, CA, USA

Distribution Statement A. Approved for public release. Distribution unlimited.





2022 GOES-17 Predicted Daily Maximum Temperatures of Focal Plane Module (FPM)





Comparison Period 1: Comparison Period 2: Both Periods Together:

U.S.NAVA

2022091600-2022101418 2022101500-2022110618 2022091600-2022110618

pre-warming warming period mitigations

Control run label Test run label G18_SCTL G18_SOP



Compare GOES17 and GOES18 Wind Speed for Period 1 + Period 2



Center panel: GOES18 mean wind speed was very similar to GOES17, except these differences seen in mid-level IR (300-700 hPa).

Left panel: GOES18 mean OmB speed difference is more negative than GOES17 (smaller fast bias 350 hPa and below, larger slow bias above 350 hPa).

Background responds strongly to observations: max difference between GOES17 and GOES18 mean wind speed profile ~ 2 m/s, greater than max OmB bias of either sensor ~ < 1 m/s.



Compare GOES17 and GOES18 Impact Profiles for Period 1 + Period 2



GOES18 impact profiles are similar to GOES17, except GOES18 IR aloft is more nonbeneficial.

GOES18 at lower levels has lower counts than GOES17 (IR, SWIR, and VIS).









4000

Count

8000

10000

0

2000

IR

VIS

- WVD

- WVR

WVH

- WVL

SWIR



IR Statistics Compare Periods 1 and 2

2022091600 - 2022101418





- Overall GOES18 has very similar statistics to GOES16 and GOES17.
- Upper level IR:
 - Wind Speed Bias for GOES18 (blue) more similar to GOES16 (orange) than GOES17 (red) both periods
 - Counts for GOES18 higher than for GOES17 during warm period mitigation
 - Nonbeneficial FSOI still present



How are counts affected by time of day? Period 2 (warm period)

- WVD (and WVH): GOES18 counts are higher than GOES17 generally, but especially in the 12Z window.
- WVR: GOES18 counts are much larger than GOES17 in the 12Z window.
- IR: GOES18 counts do not decrease in the 06Z and 12Z windows (as seen for GOES17).







GOES18 beneficial FSOI less than GOES17





GOES18 beneficial FSOI less than GOES17





GOES18 beneficial FSOI less than GOES17



All analysis times in Period 1

All analysis times except 12Z in Period 2 (warm period)



Differences Across Period 1 and Period 2 GOES17 Water Vapor Channels





Differences Across Period 1 and Period 2 GOES17 IR





Hourly Counts and Impact



Blue curves at left: GOES16 SWIR AMVs stop (at dawn) and start (at dusk) both in the 18Z window.

Orange curves at left: GOES18 SWIR AMVs stop (at dawn) in the 18Z window and start (at dusk) in the 00Z window.

The impacts curves do not rise into nonbeneficial territory here because the whole column total impact is plotted.

Further investigation is needed to recommend appropriate QC changes (if any). Low hourly counts could be used, or perhaps a local dusk check would be better.









GOES16 and GOES18 SWIR Hourly Counts and Impact

Hourly SuperOb Counts Mean and Standard Deviation 2022091600 - 2022110618



Blue curves at left:

GOES16 SWIR AMVs stop (at dawn) and start (at dusk) both in the 18Z window.

Orange curves at left:

GOES18 SWIR AMVs stop (at dawn) in the 18Z window and start (at dusk) in the 00Z window.

The impacts curves do not rise into nonbeneficial territory here because the whole column total impact is plotted.

QC checks for low hourly counts, or perhaps a local dusk check, could be devised. Further investigation is needed.







Try to Isolate Nonbeneficial SWIR AMVs

Are the nonbeneficial impacts occurring in any particular region?

- Equatorial East Pacific (ITCZ)
- Also seen in GOES16

Telltale statistics?

Coincides with region of larger MVD

Could removal of near-TC AMVs improve statistics?

- Most of the near-TC AMVs are north of the ITCZ
- No significant changes in impacts, vector difference, or wind speed bias in region where counts were changed the most by removal of the near-TC AMVs

Near-TC AMVs are not responsible for harm in region of SWIR nonbeneficial impacts, or in the region north of that, where most of them are located.



Summary (Part 1) GOES18 comparisons with GOES17 and GOES16

GOES18 compared with GOES17 and GOES16

- Between the control run with GOES17 and the test run with GOES18, there was no significant change in model verification metrics.
- Compared with GOES17, GOES18 IR winds were on average faster, especially 250-600 hPa. The quantity of IR winds was about the same, but GOES18 showed increased nonbeneficial FSOI aloft.
- Compared with GOES16, GOES18 IR statistics were similar: counts were the same or slightly lower than GOES16, and RMS wind speed difference was slightly smaller.
- Water vapor channels' FSOI remained mainly near neutral or nonbeneficial for GOES16, GOES17, and GOES18; but GOES18 clear air water vapor AMVs show some promise.

Warm period compared to pre-warm period

- ➢ GOES18 had higher counts than GOES17 during warm period mitigation.
- > By analysis cycle:
 - GOES18 water vapor channel counts were higher than GOES17 in all cycles, but especially in the 12Z window.
 - GOES18 IR counts did not decrease in the 06Z and 12Z windows, as they did for GOES17.
 - GOES18 (total of all channels) gave less beneficial FSOI during both periods and during each analysis cycle EXCEPT the 12Z window during the warming period mitigations.
- SWIR showed nonbeneficial impacts for GOES18 (and GOES17) during the 00Z analysis window (local evening); further investigation is needed to recommend appropriate QC changes (if any).



GOES18 Baseline and Enterprise AMV comparison



Test run G18_SOP assimilating all operational data

 except pulling out NESGOES17 and putting in NESGOES18 Baseline Operational Algorithm AMVs



Test run G18_EN2 assimilating all operational data

 except pulling out NESGOES17 and putting in NESGOES18 Enterprise Algorithm AMVs



Compare Baseline and Enterprise



IR

Baseline winds have slightly lower OmB RMS speed difference in NAVGEM; the mean speed difference goes from negative (baseline winds slower than background) to positive (enterprise winds faster than background).

Baseline winds usually have higher counts.





IR

Compare Baseline and Enterprise

Enterprise winds have lower OmB MVD aloft; baseline winds have lower MVD in NAVGEM below 300 hPa.

Upper level nonbeneficial FSOI is reduced, but remains nonbeneficial. FSOI in midlevels goes from beneficial to nonbeneficial.

Enterprise wind speed bias profile becomes positive (faster than background) everywhere above 900 hPa.

The upper level peak in baseline wind counts near 200 hPa is shifted downward to 300 hPa for the enterprise winds.

IR Mean Vector Difference 50-top 100-5 150-100 200-150 250-200 300-250 350-300 400-350 450-400 500-450 550-500 600-550 650-600 700-650 750-700 800-750 850-800 900-850 950-900 sfc-950 2 3 m/s

IR Impact 50-top 100-50 <-- beneficial nonbeneficial 150-100 200-150 250-200 300-250 350-300 400-350 450-400 500-450 550-500 600-550 650-600 700-650 750-700 800-750 850-800 900-850 950-900 sfc-950 -2 0 2 J/kg $\times 10^{-3}$



2022091600 - 2022110318



Compare Baseline and Enterprise IR Counts





Difference plots have filter applied wherever the bin counts for baseline or enterprise winds are <50, for the \sim 6 weeks.





Mean OmB Wind Speed (Bias)



US ΝΑΛΔΙ

Baseline winds have the strongest slow bias aloft near 20S and 20N (near the squares marked). Enterprise AMVs show a reversal to faster-than-background wind bias aloft in these regions.



Difference in mean model state (at ob locations) closely resembles mean difference in AMVs over most of the region plotted.

Two areas with small but detectable differences are marked in these images: near 300-400 hPa and 20 degrees north and south latitude.

In both marked regions, the baseline run background state has faster winds speeds than the enterprise test run, despite the enterprise AMVs being faster than baseline AMVs (and more numerous in those regions).

These areas correspond with areas on previous slide where the baseline winds have the strongest slow bias (or possibly model state has strongest fast bias). The enterprise AMVs show a reversal to faster-thanbackground wind bias in these regions.

The two test runs each received different GOES18 AMV input, resulting in different (mean) innovations. In this case those mean innovations happen to be different in sign. However, when combined with all the other observations, plus other details of the DA system, the backgrounds are only slightly different.



Summary (Part 2) Comparison of Baseline and Enterprise GOES18 winds

IR Band

➢ Counts:

- Baseline winds had higher counts than enterprise winds overall, but enterprise winds were distributed more through the depth of the atmosphere, so that baseline wind counts were higher very high and very low in the atmosphere, while enterprise wind counts were higher in midlevels.
- The upper level peak in baseline wind counts near 200 hPa was shifted downward to 300 hPa for the enterprise winds. Enterprise winds' faster bias corresponded with their shift downward in the atmosphere. (A feature with the same observed motion, but assigned below jet instead of at jet level would have faster bias relative to the background.)
- <u>Vector Differences</u>: Baseline winds had lower OmB MVD in NAVGEM below 300 hPa; enterprise winds had lower MVD aloft.
 FSOI:
 - Enterprise winds reduced the upper level nonbeneficial FSOI, but not enough—the total remained nonbeneficial.
 - Enterprise winds reversed midlevel FSOI; baseline were beneficial, but enterprise were nonbeneficial.
- ➢ <u>RMS Speed Difference</u>: Enterprise winds' OmB RMS speed difference with NAVGEM was slightly higher than baseline.
- Mean Speed Difference:
 - Bias against NAVGEM changed from negative (baseline winds slower than background) to positive (enterprise winds faster than background).
 - Baseline AMVS had slower-than-background bias aloft near 20 S and 20N. Enterprise AMVs showed a reversal to fasterthan-background wind bias aloft in these regions.



Comments and Questions?



Backup and Supplemental slides





Compare GOES16 in control and test for Period 1 + Period 2



GOES16 MVD and mean speed difference from each run are indistinguishable.

1200

Backup Slides

Comparison Period Details







Comparison Period 1 (GOES17 and 18) 2022091600 - 2022101418

- Before GOES17 warming period threshold shift, with carve-outs for GOES18 interleave period outage
 - Total of 107 DTGs, 26+ days of data
- During GOES18 interleave, stabilize run by substituting in GOES170P.
 - The primary comparison will be between GOES180P and GOES170P (without cooling problems), so do not want to introduce differences in OP/EN algorithm.
- Remove these DTGs from analysis period_1:
 - 2022093006
 - 2022101118
 - 2022101200
 - 2022101206
 - 2022101212
 - 2022101218
 - 2022101300
 - 2022101306
 - 2022101312



Comparison Period 2 (GOES17 and 18) 2022101500 - 2022110618

- During GOES17 warming period
 - Cooling Timeline Activated 14 Oct 5 Nov
- Missing 5 DTGs due to failure/restart of control and test runs
 - 2022101912 2022102012
- Removed 20 DTGs due to PDA I&T outage
 - Operational Algorithm GOES18 AMVs not available
 - During PDA I&T outage, run stabilized by substituting GOES18 Enterprise Algorithm
 - 2022102200 2022102618
- Removed 4 DTGs due to FNMOC alpha outage (GOES18 AMVs not available)
 - 2022103012 2022103106
- Total of 63 DTGs, 15+ days of data



Comparison Period 3 (Baseline and Enterprise) 2022091600 - 2022110318

- Removed 1 DTG due to missing Operational winds file
 - 2022093006
- Removed 8 DTGs due to GOES18 interleave period
 - -2022101118 2022101312
 - During GOES18 interleave period, run stabilized run by substituting GOES17 Operational Algorithm
- Missing 5 DTGs due to failure/restart of control and test runs
 - 2022101912 2022102012
- Removed 20 DTGs due to PDA I&T outage
 - Operational Algorithm GOES18 AMVs not available
 - During PDA I&T outage, run stabilized by substituting GOES18 Enterprise Algorithm
 - 2022102200 2022102618
- Removed 5 DTGs due to FNMOC alpha outage (GOES18 AMVs not available)
 - 2022103006 2022103106
- Last Enterprise winds test file was for 2022110318

Backup Slides

How are counts affected by time of day?







How are counts affected by time of day? Period 2 (warm period)

IR: GOES18 counts do not decrease in the 06Z and 12Z windows (as seen for GOES17). WVD and WVH: GOES18 counts are higher than GOES17 generally, but especially in the 12Z window. WVR: GOES18 counts are much larger than GOES17 in the 12Z window.





How are counts affected by time of day? Period 2 (warm period)

IR: GOES18 counts do not decrease in the 06Z and 12Z windows (as seen for GOES17). WVD and WVH: GOES18 counts are higher than GOES17 generally, but especially in the 12Z window. WVR: GOES18 counts are much larger than GOES17 in the 12Z window.



Backup Slides

Efforts to Isolate Nonbeneficial SWIR AMVs







GOES18 SWIR Diurnal Stats

Can we identify and isolate cause of nonbeneficial GOES SWIR AMVs?

There are some differences in statistics for the AMVs in the 00Z window, but the most striking difference at 850-900 hPa is the counts.

Do GOES16 18Z (evening) stats follow same pattern as GOES18 00Z (evening) stats?









GOES16 SWIR Diurnal Stats

Nonbeneficial impacts are not found in any of the GOES16 analysis windows, although they are near zero in the 18Z window.

The GOES16 18Z (evening) MVD and speed bias profiles do not separate as much as the GOES18 00Z (evening) stats, although counts are very low.











NESGOES16

all assimilated AMVs

(first slide)



only AMVs > 10 degrees from TC

(next slide)













The removed SWIR AMVs are largely nonbeneficial, but they are not at the location of the strongest cluster of nonbeneficial impacts.



Backup Slides

Compare Baseline and Enterprise Vector Differences









AMV_EC_plot_diffs.m





AMV_EC_plot_diffs.m











AMV_EC_plot_diffs.m





